## PROCEEDING ASEAN CONFERENCE

# 2<sup>nd</sup> Psychology and Humanity

February 19 - 20, 2016

## Optimalizing Human Strenght for Productivity and Well Being

## **Editor** 8

Prof. Dr. Nilar Kyu (University of Yangon, Myanmar)
Dr. Syed Muhamad Syed Abdullah (Universiti Sains Malaysia)
Dr. Iswinarti, M.Si. (Muhammadiyah University of Malang, Indonesia)
Dr. Latipun, M. Kes. (Muhammadiyah University of Malang, Indonesia)
Dr. Agnes del Rosario-Crisostomo (Bulacan State University, Philippines)

## PROCEEDING ASEAN CONFERENCE 2<sup>nd</sup> Psychology & Humanity February 19 – 20, 2016

## Optimalizing Human Strenght for Productivity and Well Being

#### editor:

Prof. Dr. Nilar Kyu (University of Yangon, Myanmar)
Dr. Syed Muhamad Syed Abdullah (Universiti Sains Malaysia)
Dr. Iswinarti, M.Si. (Muhammadiyah University of Malang, Indonesia)
Dr. Latipun, M. Kes (Muhammadiyah University of Malang, Indonesia)
Dr. Agnes del Rosario-Crisostomo (Bulacan State University, Philippines)



#### A SEAN CONFERENCE 2<sup>nd</sup> Psychology & Humanity © Psychology Forum UMM, February 19 – 20, 2016

PROCEEDING ASEAN CONFERENCE 2<sup>nd</sup> Psychology & Humanity February 19 – 20, 2016

### Optimalizing Human Strenght for Productivity and Well Being

#### editor:

Prof. Dr. Nilar Kyu (University of Yangon, Myanmar) Dr. Syed Muhamad Syed Abdullah (Universiti Sains Malaysia) Dr. Iswinarti, M.Si. (Muhammadiyah University of Malang, Indonesia) Dr. Latipun, M. Kes (Muhammadiyah University of Malang, Indonesia) Dr. Agnes del Rosario-Crisostomo (Bulacan State University, Philippines)

#### ISBN: 978-602-74420-0-9

Desain cover & layout Hasyim Mustofa

Penerbit: Psychology Forum

Redaksi:

JI. Raya Tlogomas No. 246 Malang Telp : +62341464318 psw 267 Email : psyforum.umm@gmail.com

Web : mpsi.umm.ac.id atau icph.umm.ac.id

Cetakan Pertama, April 2016

Hak cipta dilindungi undang – undang Dilarang memperbanyak karya tulis ini dalam bentuk dan dengan cara apapun tanpa seijin tertulis dari penerbit.

ii

#### ASEAN CONFERENCE 2<sup>nd</sup> Psychology & Humanity © Psychology Forum UMM, February 19 – 20, 2016

### Preface

In the name of Allah SWT, the Most Gracious, the most Merciful. All the praises and thank be to Allah, the Load of the universe. We thank to God because we have conduct the important event running smoothly, the 2nd Seminar on Psychology and Humanity held by students of Master of Psychology, University of Muhammadiyah Malang. That seminar got blessing from Allah SWT and runs well.

The seminar is conducted every year. From year to year, it will be broader scope. If last year conducted in national level, this year we invited friends/colleagues from ASEAN region to work together on the topic that we have discussed. And our planned for next year, this seminar will be held in a much broader scale, in the Asia-European scope. Some of friends from Europe have expressed their willingness for participation in the seminar by next year.

The theme of this seminar is: "Optimizing the human strength for productivity and well-being." We consider this topic relevant today's condition." Human strength as the chosen theme in the present seminar is an important concept in modern psychology. We believe that the progress of nations is happening, because of the strength character of its citizens. Human strength means, is the ability of individuals to overcome the various problems and developing themselves in their lives, as well as the collective power of individuals to face the challenges of the community social life. Advances technology is useless if it is not based on human strength is good of its users.Individuals and communities experiencing shocks and psychosocial problems when they encounteredthe changes and developments of technology occurred, because they don't have human strength.

The second is productivity. The manifestation of a healthy person and a person who runs the social psychosocial functioning is to produce something as a manifestation of an individual's life but doesn't mean the cause of death. In a productive society, competitiveness is not a problem, because it will always yield (production) what its ability and does not stop at the level as consumers. Productivity is basically the duty of every individual to contribute positively to the society. In a broad context, the productive is not only in a form of goods, but in all affairs of human life.

The third keyword of the seminar topic is well-being. One of the goals of this life is to achieve the prosperous life. This well-being has a very broad meaning, they are: social welfare, economic prosperity, psychological well-being. However, the achievement of well-being can be obtained if the prerequisites is previously achieved, including productivity achieved by the individual. In other words, productivity is manifested by individuals basically to obtain the well-being, otherwise if the individual does not obtain prosperity in life, there is no useful by himself.

We appreciate to the Master of Psychology Program who organized this seminar to discuss more in-depth to obtain a broad perspective on the welfare of individuals regarding the power of human being (human strength).

The seminar was followed by 130 Call for Papers. They welcome from the Department of Psychology and related fields of science are very nice. Again we on the said seminar we are very grateful to the guest speakers who comes exclusively for the purposes of this seminar. The ideas presented by the speakers will provide insight the meaningful for all of us to understand the concept and application of the character strength which is relevant to the productivity and wellbeing.

#### A S E A N C O N F E R E N C E 2<sup>nd</sup> Psychology & Humanity © Psychology Forum UMM, February 19 – 20, 2016

We acknowledged and honored to the especial guest for your willingness participating this seminar. In behalf of this matter, I would like to relay my deeply gratitude to the following persons:

- 1. Prof. Dr. Mohd. Zaidi Haji Hajazi from University of Selangor, Malaysia which from the very beginning we work together and who expressed his desire to participate in this seminar.
- 2. Dr. Syed Muhammad Syed Abdullah from the University of Science Malaysia in Pinang. A friend who have known me long enough and willing to deliver his papers in this seminar.
- 3. Dr. Agnes del Rosario-Crisostomo from Bulacan State University, Philippines, he had been long journey from Malolos to Malang.
- 4. Mr. Sopon Satornsumritpol, M.Ed. from Rajamangala University of Technology in Thanabury, Thailand.
- 5. Dr. LisnawatiRuhaena from University of Muhammadiyah Surakarta, who also sincerely to become a speaker in the seminar.
- 6. Dr. Iswinarti, as well as the organizer of this seminar, she also prepared her papers for the implementation of the seminar.
- 7. Prof. Dr. Nilar Kyu from University of Yangon, Myanmar, he has obtained the approval from the government of Myanmar for attending the seminars. Hopefully tomorrow he can be with us.

And actually there are speakers who are willing to participate, but unfortunately they couldn't join of this seminar, because of some reason namely:

- 1. Prof. Dr. Sek Sisokhom from Royal University of Phnom Penh.
- 2. Dr. Nguyen Thi Than Tu from University of Social Science and Humanities, Ho Chi Min, Vietnam.

However, overall the seminar had been run well, and this proceeding has be issued as dissemination for the public. That is all and thank.

Malang, February 2016 Editor,

Dr. Latipun, MKes

#### ASEAN CONFERENCE 2<sup>nd</sup> Psychology & Humanity © Psychology Forum UMM, February 19 – 20, 2016

k	
836 /846 #	Content
i - II	Cover
iii - iv	Preface
v - vii	Content
629 - 633	Strategies to Optimizing Human Strength for Productivity and Well Being Through Changing Roles of Training in Organization Mohd Zaidi Hajazi, Sabzali Musa Kahn, Wan Puspa Melati
634 - 638	Perception of Lectures' Gender and Position on Students Evaluation of Teaching M. S. Sulong & M. Z. Hajazi
639 - 646	Construction Measurement Critical Thinking A. Jauhar Fuad, I Wayan Ardana, Sulton, Dedi Kuswandi
647 - 651	Maximizing the Passive-Aggressive Employees' Performance Arundati Shinta, Eny Rohyati, Wahyu Widiantoro, Dewi Handayani
652 - 660	Goal Setting and Perseverance Nataria Yulianie
661 - 671	Community-Based Parenting Model to Stop HIV/AIDS Dissemination Due to Premarital Sexual Behavior of Adolescents Setyawati
672 - 678	Regular Students' Empathy Level towards Students with Special Needs in Inclusion Class Yuni Nurhamida
679 - 684	Parent Attachment as Achievement Predictor upon Adolescent Subjective Well-Being <b>Nur Azizah</b>
685 - 689	Character Model of Art Entrepreneur in Dayak Pam-Pang Village Samarinda <b>Nuraida Wahyu Sulistyani</b>
690 - 696	The Attitudes towards the Fundamental Principles of Sikh Teachings at Punjab Community in Medan <b>Nur'aini</b>
697 - 703	Subjective Welfare on Micro-Scale Entrepreneurs in Yogyakarta Viewed from Social Support Colleagues <b>Sowanya Ardi Prahara</b>
704 - 708	Key Factors in Teacher Professional Development Rosita Endang Kusmaryani, Juke R.Siregar, Hanna Widjaja, Ratna Jatnika
709 - 715	The Personality Test as an Instrument in Optimizing Human Capital Development in the 21st Century <b>Syed Mohamad Syed Abdullah and Mohd Arsad Johanis</b>
716 - 722	Envious Increasing Student's Academic Performance

#### ASEAN CONFERENCE 2<sup>nd</sup> Psychology & Humanity © Psychology Forum UMM, February 19 – 20, 2016

10

723 - 728	Gender Differences in Neuroticism on College Students Djudiyah, SuryanaSumantri, Diana Harding
729 - 733	Traditional Games Intervention Effectiveness for Children with Internet Addiction Gandes Nawangsari
734 - 740	Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) in Sexually Abused Children Hera Wahyuni
741 - 746	Friendship-Themed Movie Effectivity to Increase Friendship Quality in Sman I Kota Serang Irma Rosalinda, Stephanie Pradnyaparamita Susanto, Arum Septi Mawarni
747 - 752	
753 - 760	One Dimensional School Weel - Being : Self- Efficacy of Math , as Predictors Achievement in Mathematics Aniq Hudiyah Bil Haq and Asmadi Alsa
761 - 764	Parental Endorsement toward Children's Learning Disability <b>Dewi Mahastuti</b>
765 - 772	The Relationship of Humility and Forgiveness on Students' Psychological Well-Being <b>Dinie Sumatri</b>
773 - 781	Permissive Parenting Style and its Risks to Trigger Online Game Addiction among Children <b>Dwi Rezky Anandari</b>
782 - 789	The Parent-Child Attachment and Delinquency: Meta-Analysis Study Eny Purwandari
790 - 795	The Effect of Leader's Emotional Displays on Employee Work Performance Evi Kurniasari Purwaningrum
796 - 801	Self Management Training to Treat Online Game Addiction Kondang Budiyani, Sri Muliati Abdulah
802 - 806	Correlations Between Perception of Quality Service with Customer Loyalty to Users Lion Air Flight services <b>Reida Fildzahni, Erita Yuliasesti Diahsari</b>
807 - 813	Influence Adversity Quotient and Social Support Family to Achieve Motivation Fin swimming Athletes in Surabaya Gita Benefita Suprianto, Yusak Novanto
814 - 821	Character Building Empathy Training on Elementary Students Inclusion in Malang
822 - 827	Guidance System Changes; From Correctional Institution For Children To Guidance Institution For Children, From The View Of Law Conflicted Children Sri Maslihah, M. Ariez Mustofa, Gemala Nurendah
828 - 835	Stimulation Literacy Children Preschool: Problem and Solution

..

## ASEAN CONFERENCE 2<sup>nd</sup> Psychology & Humanity © Psychology Forum UMM, February 19 - 20, 2016

4

-1

4.

836 - 844	The Conversion of Religion in Psychological Perspective Raja Oloan Tumanggor
845 - 849	Marital Flourishing, Virtue, and Better Life <b>Siti Rohmah Nurhayati</b>
850 - 858	Traditional Game "Gembatan" and Social Competence of School Age Children Iswinarti
859 - 860	Social and Cultural Perspective Sopon Satornsumritpol
861 - 869	Resilience Among Filipinos in Times of Disasters: Implications to Well-Being Agnes del Rosario Crisostomo
870 - 875	Involvement Parenting Dad Masculinity in Homosexual Men in Jakarta Yeny Duriana Wijaya

vii

The following of a metrowork of the taken of the source of performance of the taken of the source of the following the source of the source of

Now day's being one given better rold in the leading series.

## Marital Flourishing, Virtue, and Better Life

#### Siti Rohmah Nurhayati

Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta stiroma@yahoo.com

**Abstract.** Marital flourishing is a concept about marriage quality. It gives relatively different perspective compared to other concepts of marriage quality. A happy marriage should represent virtues reflected in individuals' husband-and-wife related behavior. Therefore satisfying life is achieved as the happy married life reveals good deeds between husband and wife in order to reach the marriage goals.

Keywords: Marital Flourishing, virtue, batter life.

#### Introduction

Marriage quality has been a hot topic among researchers. There have been several concepts proposed such as a theory of happy marriages, satisfying marriages, marriage stabilities, marital adjustment, and successful marriage. One relatively new concept to describe the quality of the marriage is marital flourishing. This article will try to explain what the quality of marriage is, in this case marital flourishing will be perceived as an embodiment of a good life.

Marital flourishing mentioned in *eudaimonic* theory about the quality of marriage proposed by Fowers&Owenz(2010). They use the word flourishing to describe qualified marriages. According to Fowers&Owenz (2010), a marriage characters is coordinated activities between husband and wife and the activities are meant to achieve meaningful goals together, and the activities are having various excellent. A marriage is flourishes when the activities are held in meaningful ways together. A flourished marriage is the highest quality of a marriage (Fowers&Owenz, 2010) and this is characterized by husband and wife together meaningful activities meant to achieve noble human goals.

This theory of flourished marriage is different from other theories of marriage quality previously referred by many experts, such as satisfying marriage, marriage and happiness, successful marriage, marriage stability and marriage adjustment (Knapp & Lott, 2010). The significant differences lie in the goodness and meaningful activities in a marriage. The term flourishing in a husband-and-wife relation is not merely happiness, satisfaction, adjustment and prosperity, but more than that. Flourishing is characterized by intimacy, growth, resiliency and dynamic balance in terms of other families subsystems, and an involvement in bigger social relations as well (Fincham& Beach, 2010).

The term *flourishing*is usually related to positive psychology proposed by Martin Seligman (Seligman &Csikszentmihalyi, 2000). The latter term is for building human power and the goodness of citizens and to develop positive, growing and justice society. As we know, positive psychology is a science about positive experiences, traits and institutions (Seligman &Csikszentmihalyi, 2000). Positive psychology has become a new paradigm in psychology as a science, and it is a new way of both psychological research and practice. This writing is going to review the theory of marital flourishing according to positive psychology paradigm. This writing is also a kind of contribution to all attempts to build a family with positive activities and the growth of all of its members. Besides that, this writing tries to review the core theory of marital flourishing concept.

#### What is Marital Flourishing?

The term flourishing is inseparable from philosophical and psychological theories which are related to happiness and well-being. First, subjective theories called as hedonistic theories in psychology realm. Second, objective theories are known as *eudaimonic* (Ruyter, 2007). Hedonistic theories see happiness and well-being as subjective experiences as they are related to one's evaluation toward self. Happiness and well-being usually interpreted as high positive feeling, low negative feeling and high life satisfaction (Deci& Ryan, 2008), and the belief to get an important thing wanted (Kraut, 1979). *Eudaimonictheories* reveal that well-being is more than happiness, as when people say they are happy (having positive feeling) it does not mean they are psychologically fine (Deci& Ryan, 2008).

Eudaimonicparadigm in researches aboutwell-being is probably proposed by Aristoteles' philosophy of happiness. According to Aristoteles, humans' happiness lies in the meaning of life, living the good life which represents humans' excellent(Ryan, dkk, 2006). Eudaimoniaparadigm say that well-being is not an outcome or the final result. It is a process to meet or realize one's goodness or daimon(Deci& Ryan, 2008). Daimonis one's potentials and the realization of them will represent the biggest fulfillment in life. Daimon consists of all potentials owned by human beings such as the goodness of human beings and all unique potentials which differentiate one from others. Daimon is an excellent, a perfection in one's struggle. Therefore daimon may give meaning and direction in one's life. The all effort to live according to daimon, or to realize all self-potentials, will improve eudaimonia (Waterman, 1993).

According to Aristoteles, eudaimoniais the highest form of human's life (Fowers, 2012a). Eudaimoniais usually referred as happiness and flourishing, but it may create misunderstanding as happiness commonly considered as superficial and temporary affective state (Fowers, 2008; 2012a). According to Aristoteles, eudaimoniais humans' way of life manifested in positive activities. Eudaimoniais an activity pattern throughout the life in order to reach good goals in wise ways (Fowers, 2012b).Some experts therefore (Kraut, 1979; Ruyter, 2007; Snow, 2008; Fowers, 2012b) refer eudaimonia as flourishing. The term Flourishing is used to describe one's life quality across the time or to evaluate one's life during certain time period (Ruyter, 2007).

An individual with *eudaimonor flourish*is the one who fully develops and regularly deliver good deeds of humans, both morally and intellectually. He also involves in moral activities such as justice, generosity, self-control (Kraut, 1979; Kristja'nsson, 2010)and he contributes constructively to the world around him (Keyes, 2007).*Eudaimonia* achievement is voluntarily and usually is a kind of self-expression, without any control from external world. Therefore, *eudaimonia* is showed by living properly and it needs active extraordinary struggle, reflective decision making, and voluntarily try to reach the goals which represent humans' noble traits (Ryan, dkk,, 2006; Ryff& Singer, 2008). The success of achieving the goals needs a kind of excellent wisdom and team work with others (Fowers, 2012b).

*Eudaimonia* condition is presumably createshuman flourishing, which is showed by external kindness, such as good family, good friends, good offspring, good appearance and being happy to held good activities. These are parts of flourishing life --- humanity goodness (Snow, 2008). So the term *flourishing* is inseparable from the term *eudaimonia*. *Flourishing* is full development of affective, cognitive, behavior, social and political aspects (Fowers, 2012b). *Flourishing* begins to show when the goodness are integrated, and the meaningful goals are achieved in accordance to talents, choices and situations. Unfortunately, there is no clear form of flourishing that people can follow as flourishing is an open theory that peoplecan apply by combining various kind of goodness (Fowers&Owenz, 2010). So, flourishing is a description of a complete life for achieving useful goodness through meaningful activities in a high quality and close relationship (Fowers&Owenz, 2010), in a social unity, cohesive, and successful (Conly, 1988).

Fowers&Owenz (2010) said that marriage is another kind of friendship. It has been characterized with coordinated activities between husband and wife, directed to humans' noble and meaningful goals and the activities has various excellent. A flourished marriage is the highest quality of a marriage. The friendship relation in a marriage is as important as ineudaimonia, and this friendship is for supporting and realizing the meaningful goals together.

Fowers&Owenz (2010) describe the concept of *flourishingfrom* Aristoteleswho proposed *eudaimonia*. First, *flourishing* is an activity, and it is different from other measurements of marriage quality which usually stress on feeling and satisfaction. Second, flourishing life consists of pleasant activities. It is pleasant to achieve meaningful goals. Third, *flourishing* is a pattern of integrated, complete and intertwined activities. Fourth, *flourishing* is related with wisdom, so the flourished activities are positively motivated to bring goodness. Fifth, flourished life substantially consists of any achievement of good humans' goals. According to Aristotele (in Fowers&Owenz, 2010) the goals are suitable with humans' character as social creatures, such as getting knowledge, sense of belonging and justice.

Based on the explanation above, it is concluded that marital flourishing is a marriage quality characterized by good and meaningful activities, held together by husband and wife, in order to reach humans' noble goals.

#### A Good Life

A good life is a main theme in positive psychology (Comptom, 2005). It is described in many ways. The history of positive psychology said that theorists described that good life can be found in fully functioning people. One of them is Allport who thought that a mature and healthy individual is the one who owns several functional characters such as the ability to have good relationship with others, thinking positive about himself, having common sense, being objective toward himself and others, having abilities to think broadly and the most important is having internalized life philosophies (Linley & Joseph, 2004). Meanwhile, according to Comptom (2005), a good life depends on three elements: good relationship with others, good traits and qualified life management. Human behavior aspects that contribute to good interpersonal is the ability to love, altruistic attention, the ability to forgive and spiritual relationship. Positive traits consists of integrated feeling, the ability to act and to create and having virtues such as hardiness and humble. Meanwhile life management quality is helping individuals regulate daily acts in suitable and appropriate ways to the goals. The daily acts are like being independent, high self-control, and having life wisdom for life manual. Research by King & Napa (1998) to a group of students and adults found that the source of goodness in life and things that make people enjoy their life is happiness, life meaning and richness. For the group of students particularly, the individuals with high level of these three aspects were considered living in heaven. In the adult group, the perception about life meaning and happiness are important and money is less important.

The relation between happiness and good life has been a debatable topic in research that rise a question "is a good life also essentially a happy one?" (Linley & Joseph, 2004). Seligman (in Woolfolk & Wasserman, 2005) distinguish a pleasant life, a good life and meaningful life. A pleasant life, usually called as the first generation of positive psychology, is referred as having as much fun as possible by creating, keeping and intensifying positive feeling. A good life does not have anything to do with maximum fun, but it is a life that full with success by using all potentials to reach satisfying conditions which are pure and abundance. This condition is the same as what Csikszentmihalyi called as *flow. Flow*is described as one's involvement with his activities, without any distance or without the feeling of being an agent of the activities. Seligman said there was a kind of similarity between flow and the concept of *eudaimonia* Aristoteles which means the highest goodness, no need to add any goodness anymore to make it better. According to Aristoteles, the goodness of something depends on its function, so something is considered good when its function is also good for humanity. The good life is the one that is meaningful, which Seligman relate it with something beyond the individual or related with one's commitment toward an entity out of himself (Woolfolk & Wasserman, 2005).

Aristoteles (in Linley & Joseph, 2004) distinguished between a pleasant life or *hedonia* a good life or*eudaimonia*. A pleasant life is an easy-to-understand concept, but *eudaimonia* refers to a more complex happiness, which related to maintenance and virtues. For one with a pleasant life, this condition is subjective. On the other hand, *eudaimonia* refers to a condition where one has found more objective standard. Waterman (1993) described Aristoteles' ideas on *hedonia* and *eudaimonia* by using the termsimple pleasures and self-expression. According to his ideas, *eudaimonia* is the emergence of basic self-expression

activities. According to Aristoteles (in Kristja'nsson, 2010), *eudaimonia* is not possibly reached by one without being morally good, without actualize the moral goodness or virtues. Therefore goodness or virtues is the core of *eudaimonia*.

#### Virtue and Marital Flourishing

As mentioned at the beginning of this writing, the fundamental difference between marital flourishing with other marital qualities is the emphasis on kindness and meaningfulness of the activity in marriages. According to Fowers (1993), marital relationships determines the direction of human life, so the ethical dimension is important to understand the marriage itself, the research and theories related to marriages. Generally, ethic is appropriate behavior, but this tends to ignore other moral questions such as how valuable and meaningful life created. Therefore Fowers (1993) said that ethics are explored in the goodness and truth context.

Virtueis generally described as traits or internal disposition which morally wanted to be good. Virtue is the quality of humans' characters and excellent which can be improved to live better. Philosophical explanation about virtue stating that some of the same area between virtue and positive psychology include mental health promotion and human flourishing (the good life), healthy relationships between characters with the welfare of society, fertilization of human endurance and resilience, relationship with the meaningfulness of life, as well as basic wisdom (Sandage & Hill, 2001).

In this regard, Aristotle (in Fowers&Owenz, 2010) stated that flourishing is an integrated activity patterns. Quality of life will appear on the completeness (completeness) and coherence. Therefore sporadic and irregular activities cannot form relationships or life flourishing. Quality is also an important activity, and Aristotle described it as a virtue that allows individuals achieve useful end. For example, in marriage, it would be easy to see if couples routinely act generous, faithful, and just to improve the quality of marriage. Flourished life substantially shaped by purpose or humanitarian ideals that typically include goodness in it. The goodness is abstract and sometimes not entirely achievable, but humans can actively achieve it. Goodness tends to be non-definitive and non-final formulation for goodness is a final dream or a final goal established the culture and history, consequently goodness is the subject of ongoing debate and reinterpretation. It is not surprising that there are many kinds of knowledge, justice, or how to achieve goodness. According to Fowers&Owenz (2010), a goal is the formulation of the relative clear goodness and they stated that a goal is an indicator of the existence of goodness in a flourished conjugal relationship. Based on these descriptions, the tentative conclusion is that flourished marriage can only be achieved through virtue or goodness in marital activities and marital objectives.

#### Closing

Marital flourishing as a quality concept of marriage indicates that qualified marriage reflected in the lives of the individuals in it. A good marriage is not just shown by the subjective happiness or satisfaction of husband or wife. More than that, a good marriage can only be achieved if the couple each has virtue or good traits such as loyalty, generosity, compassionate, fair. In addition they are acting in a good way, mutually enjoyable and grow with one another. They consistently and regularly connect to each other, act in ways that are morally accepted to achieve common goals in marriage. Thus the qualified marriage will be achieved. A marriage is not merely to satisfy spouses, but also enabling each to be able to express good traits, do good things to each other in a good life.

#### References

Aanstoos, C.M. (2003). The relevance of humanistic psychology. Journal of Humanistic Psychology, 43 (3), 121-132

Deci, E.L. & Ryan, R.M. (2008). Hedonia, eudaimonia, and well-being: an introduction. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 9, 1-11

- Fincham, F.D., & Beach, S.R.H. (2010). Of memes and marriage: Toward a positive relationship science. Journal of Family Theory and Review, 2, 4-24
- Fowers, B.J. (1993). Psychology as public philosophy: An illustration of the moral dimension of psychology with marital research. Journal of Theoretical and Philosophical Psychology, 13 (2), 124-136
- Fowers, B.J. & Owenz, M.B. (2010). A eudaimonic theory of marital quality. Journal of Family Theory and Review. 2, 334-352
- Fowers, B.J. (2012a). Placing virtue and the human good in psychology, Journal of Theoretical and Philosophical Psychology, 32 (1), 1-9
- Fowers, B.J (2012b). An Aristotelian framework for the human good. Journal of Theoretical and Philosophical Psychology, 32 (1), 10-23
- Friedman, H. (2008). Humanistic and positive psychology: The methodological and epistemological divide. The Humanistic Psychologist, 36, 113-126
- Keyes, C. L. M. (2007). Promoting and protecting mental health as flourishing: A complementary strategy for improving national mental health. *American Psychologist, 62,* 95–108.
- King, L.A., & Napa, C.K. (1998). What makes a life good?. Journal of personality and social psychology, 75 (1), 156-165
- Knapp, S.J. & Lott, B. (2010). Forming the central framework for a science of marital quality: an interpretive alternative to marital satisfaction as a proxy for marital quality. *Journal of Family Theory & Review*, 2, 316-333
- Kraut, R. (1979). Two conceptions of happiness. Philosopical Review, 88 (2), 167-196
- Lambert, M.J., & Erekson, D.M. (2008). Positive psychology and the humanistic tradition. Journal of Psychoterapy Integration, 18 (2), 222-232
- Medlock, G. (2012). The evolving ethic of authenticity from humanistic to positive psychology. The Humanistic Psychologist, 40, 38-57
- Resnick, S., Warmoth, A., & Selin, E.A. (2001). The humanistic psychology and positive psychology connection: Implications for psychotherapy. *Journal of Humanistic Psychology*, 41 (1), 73-101
- Rich, J.W. (2001). Positive psychology: An introduction. Journal of Humanistic Psychology, 41 (1), 8-12
- Robbins, B.D. (2008). What is the good life? Positive psychology and the renaissance of humanistic psychology. The Humanistic Psychologist, 36, 96-112
- Ruyter, D. (2007). Ideals, Education, and Happy Flourishing. Educational Theory. 57, 23-35
- Ryff, C.D. & Singer, B.H. (2008). Know thyself and become what you are: a eudaimonic approach to psychological well-being. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 9, 13-39
- Sandage, J.S., & Hill, P.C. (2001). The virtues of positive psychology: the rapprochement and challenges of an affirmative postmodern perspective. *Journal for the Theory of Social Behavior*, 33 (3), 241-260
- Schneider, K. (2011). Toward a humanistic positive psychology: Why can't we just get along?. Existential Analysis, 22 (1), 32-38
- Taylor, E. (2001). Positive psychology and humanistic psychology: A reply to Seligman. *Journal of Humanistic Psychology*, 41 (1), 13-29
- Waterman, A.S. (1993). Two conceptions of happiness: Contrasts of personal expressiveness (eudaimonia) and hedonic enjoyment. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 64 (4), 678-691
- Woolfolk, R.L. & Wasserman, R.H. (2005). Count no one happy: Eudaimonia and positive psychology. Journal of Theoretical and Philosophical Psychology, 25 (1), 81-90



